5. Thursday 8 Sept 8,30

Generating national LR inventories

Data to be collected, type and availability

Veteläinen M and Negri V

Maa- ja Elintarviketalouden Tutkimuskeskus, Finland Department of Applied Biology, University of Perugia, Italy















"Conservation strategies for European crop wild relative and landrace diversity, 7–9 September 2011, Palanga Lithuania

Outline

- 1- Basic number of data to be recorded when inventorying LRs
- 2- Other useful data

3- LR mapping

4- Making data available to the PGR secure project

5- Matter to be discussed and agreed

🎸 MTT "Conservation strategies for European crop wild relative and landrace diversity, 7–9 September 2011, Palanga Lithuania









1- Minimum data to be recorded about LRs present on farm: we suggest

- 1. Genus
- 2. Species
- 3. Authority
- 4. LR local name
- 5. Latitude
- 6. Longitude
- to be recorded by using GPS in new explorations
- 7. Altitude
- and answers to questions:



- 9. 'LR reintroduced from gene banks/other farmers?' (yes/no).
 - If reintroduced from genebank:
 - give reference of the genebank.
 - If reintroduced from other farmers specify if from:
 - neighboring,
 - same district,
 - different district/country.
- 10. 'Any seed exchange with other local farmers?' (yes/no)









1- Minimum number of data to be recorded and worked out : which data format?

The same used for data input in EURISCO

Eurisco might be extended to record on farm data







2- Other data to be recorded (they are useful for planning and monitoring on farm conservation actions)

Minimum descriptor list already worked out by the ECPGR on farm WG downloadable from :

http://www.ecpgr.cgiar.org/networks/in_situ_and_on_farm/on_farm_wg.html

	in farm descriptors - English VN D	C 16110/final	[Vain luku] [Yhteenso	piva tila] -	Microsoft Excel			
	AB	С	D	E	F	G	HI	
1	Dipartimento Biologia Vegetale e Biot	ecnologie Agroa	mbientali e Zootecniche	- Università	degli Studi di Perugia - Italy			
2	Banca de Resurse o	genetice vege	tale - Suceava - Rom	ania				
	Minimum descriptors list for the documentation of on-farm conservation and management activities							
2	(draft - proposal)							
5	(ulait - proposal)							
4								
5	Collecting/observation date							
6	Collecting number							
7	Name of collector / Institution							
8								
10								
11	Genus and species							
12	Vernacular name of species							
13	3 Vernacular name of varieties and the synonymous							
14								
15	IDENTIFICATION OF	Area						
16	1 COLLECTING/OBSERVATION	County						
1/	PLACE	Village						
10		Longitude (°F)						
20		Altitude (m)						
21		Geophysic site	description	plain	e	1		
22				medi	um hill	2		
23				high	hill	3		
24				plate	au	4		

Descriptors: Crop, farmer & socioeconomic information

·IDENTIFICATION OF COLLECTING/OBSERVATION PLACE

•SOIL SAMPLE FOR DIFFERENT ASSAYS

•COLLECTING/OBSERVATION PLACE CHARACTERISTICS

•SPECIFIC HABITAT of COLLECTING/OBSERVATION PLACE

• SEEED SUPPLY SYSTEM

•GERMPLASM FOUND

•GERMPLASM COLLECTED

•STATUS OF THE SAMPLE

•PHOTO RECORDS

•LANDRACE IMPORTANCE IN THE FARM/FAMILY

FARMING CALENDAR

• REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM IN THE FARM

·LANDRACE CROPPING SYSTEM

•LANDRACE PROPAGATION METHODS

Iandrace MANAGEMENT

HARVESTING METHODS

•DRYING PLACE

•IS PROPAGATION MATERIAL SELECTED by the farmer? •PROPAGATION MATERIAL SELECTION CRITERIA

PROPAGATION MATERIAL STORAGE FACILITIES

PROPAGATION MATERIAL STORAGE PRACTICES

STORAGE CONTAINER

LANDRACE LABOR DIVISION BY GENDER

LANDRACE MAINTAING REASONS

USED PARTS OF THE PLANT

LANDRACE USES SOCIO - CULTURAL, HISTORICAL AND TRADITIONAL ASPECTS

PERCEPTION ABOUT THE RISK TO LOSE THIS LANDRACE

INFORMATIONS ABOUT EXTINCTION OF OTHER LAND RACES

WAS THE LANDRACE ALREADY COLLECTED AND STUDIED?

PERMISSION TO SPREAD THE VARIETY (whether samples are collected to enter in a gene bank)

Farmer signature

FARM'S MANAGER

FARM'S MAIN ACTIVITY

TYPE OF AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM

NUMBER OF DISTINCT LANDRACES CULTIVATED IN:

FARMERS' PHENOTYPIC CRITERIA TO DISCRIMINATE BETWEEN LANDRACES OF THE SAME SPECIES

NOTES

LΤΝ

0

3- Mapping of inventoried LRs

needed :

- For conservation planning, i.e.
- to know where to set
 - ex situ and
 - in situ conservation actions
- For risk assessment
- To monitor conservation across years

UNIPG will take care of it whether data are provided









4 - Making data available to the PGR secure project

Which crop: all, but focus on those belonging to Avena, Beta, Brassica, Medicago genera

A web available tool can be prepared by UNIPG for direct input of LR country data by each nominated On-farm National Inventory Contact Point.

It foresee you: •Register to the tool •Login to have access •Input your LR minimum + (possibly) other data



5 - To be discussed and agreed

1) Are the proposed set of 10 basic data

2) Is the proposed data format (e.g. EURISCO format) ok?

3) Are you willing to make available your country data to PGR secure and use the tool prepared by UNIPG for data input?

Thank you for attention





Following discussion....

Minimum data to be recorded about LRs present on farm: suggested

- Genus
- 2. Species
- 3. Authority
- 4 LR local name
- 5. Farm Latitude
- 6. Farm Longitude
- 7. Farm Altitude
- and answers to questions:



- **GPS in new explorations**
- 8. 'How long time the landrace has been cultivated in the farm?'(years)
- 9. 'LR reintroduced from gene banks/other farmers?' (yes/no).
 - If reintroduced from genebank:
 - give reference of the genebank.
 - If reintroduced from other farmers specify if from:
 - neighboring,
 - same district,
 - different district/country.
- 10. 'Any seed exchange with other local farmers?' (yes/no)









🕜 MTT

Added traits suggested by the WS participants as mandatory

- 11. Inventorying date
- 12. National Inventory number

13. Institute code

- 14. Country
- 15. District
- 16. Municipality
- 17. Main use of product obtained from LR (i.e. baking, brewing,)
- 18. Total area cultivated under the inventoried LR (ha)
- 19. LR name synonyms known by the farmer (to be listed)
- 20. Farmer motivations for growing LRs
- 21. Special traits the farmer observe in the LR
- 22. Sample for ex situ safety duplication collected? (yes/no)

if yes specify Institute code

- 23. Is the LR under threat? (yes/no)
- 24. Is any monitoring foreseen? (yes/no)
- 25. Farmer permission of making public the data recorded about the LR and the farm obtained (yes/no)

All traits to be logically ordered

Discussed and agreed

1) Are the proposed set of 10 basic data ok?

2) Is the proposed data format (e.g. EURISCO format) ok?

3) Are you, as national responsible for inventorying LR data*, willing to make available your country data to PGR secure and use the tool prepared by UNIPG for data input? *Only you will have access!

Majority answer after discussion question Yes + 1 added traits 2 Yes 3 Yes

