

# Working Group 3: CWR and LR Information Mgt.

Interim Feedback Session on LR Data  
Collection, Mgt and Nat. Inv.

# Points of Discussion

- Individual Countries focal points briefed the meeting on the quality and quantity of in situ info that they had available
- Discussion then progressed to the descriptor lists

# Summary of current national positions

- Majority of countries have no in situ monitoring programme's on farm
- Lack of funding at national level has hindered progress in this area
- Very few countries have attempted to source landraces in a structured way
- Some countries have official lists of “consv. Vars”, but these may not meet landrace criteria

# Summary of current national positions

- Information is available on landraces in Eurisco, circa. 250000 landrace accessions maintained ex situ at present
- May be scope to extrapolate info from this source
- AEGRO Project may be an alternative info source
- A number of countries have fruit tree collections, scope here to extract nat. info., but..
  - Should this info be uploaded to Eurisco or to the in situ descriptor format?

# In situ descriptor list discussion

- The data mgt group has offered to review and revise the draft list of 39 descriptors so that it can be finally formally agreed
- Bioversity has agreed to facilitate this process using its own staff resources
- Group felt that any agreed list would need a minimum list of mandatory fields
- A separate session is required to carry out this review

# In situ descriptor list discussion

- Once an agreed descriptor list is produced a sub-set can be extracted for the purposes of PGR Secure project needs
- Group agreed that the list of 10 descriptors needed expanding upon for the PGR Secure Project in order to maximize important data capture, e.g. “unique identifier”, “in an ex situ collection”, “threat level”.